

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT KNOXVILLE

THE ESTATE OF LEEROY HICKMAN, JR.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 3:09-CV-69
)	(VARLAN/SHIRLEY)
DOUG MOORE, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

THE ESTATE OF LEEROY HICKMAN, JR.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 3:09-CV-102
)	
DOUG MOORE, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This case came before the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 3.2 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee for assignment to a District Judge. The record indicates that the recently filed case of Hickman v. Moore, Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-102, was removed to this Court from the Circuit Court of Blount County, Tennessee on March 11, 2009. One previous case has been filed, styled Hickman v. Moore, Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-69, which was assigned to the Honorable Thomas A. Varlan, United States District Judge and Magistrate Judge C. Clifford Shirley, Jr.

Pursuant to E.D. TN. LR3.2(d)(3), cases related to a case already assigned to a District Judge shall be assigned or transferred to the Judge assigned to the previously filed case. The procedure set out in Local Rule 3.2(d)(3)(B) provides that “when it appears to the Clerk that a case submitted for filing may be related to a previously filed case, the submitted case shall be referred to the Magistrate Judge assigned to the previously filed case to determine whether or not the cases are related. If the Magistrate determines that the cases are related, the Magistrate will enter an Order directing the Clerk to assign the submitted case to the Judge assigned to the previously filed case.” In this matter, it appeared to the Clerk that the case of Hickman v. Moore, Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-102, submitted for filing, might be related to the previously filed case of Hickman v. Moore, Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-69, and thus the instant case was referred to the undersigned to determine whether or not the cases were related.

Cases are deemed related under Local Rule 3.2(d)(3)(A) when a filed case arises out of the same transaction or occurrence and involves one or more of the same parties as an earlier numbered case.

It appears to the undersigned that Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-102 is related to the earlier filed case, Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-69. Both cases involve the same parties, and both cases appear to arise out of the same transaction or occurrence, the alleged wrongful death of Mr. Hickman. The Court also notes that the claims in the two cases appear to be identical. Therefore, the undersigned finds that these cases are deemed related as they arise, in substantial part, out of the same transaction, occurrence, are claimed to be related thereto, and involve one or more of the same parties, as well as substantially similar allegations, claims, and facts.

Therefore, it is the opinion of the undersigned that Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-102 (Hickman v. Moore) should be assigned to the Honorable Thomas A. Varlan, who was previously assigned to Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-69 (Hickman v. Moore) in the interest of judicial economy.¹

Accordingly, the Clerk is **DIRECTED** to assign Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-102 to the Honorable Thomas A. Varlan, United States District Judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ENTER:

s/ C. Clifford Shirley, Jr.
United States Magistrate Judge

¹The Court also notes and calls the parties attention to Local Rule 3.2(e), which provides that “this rule is intended to provide for the orderly division of the business of the Court and not to grant any right to any litigant.”